

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

| APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO   |  |  |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/769,361            | 01/30/2004                      | Ricky B. Steck       | 13646.8.1.3          | <sup>.</sup> 7919 |  |  |
| 22913                 | 7590 04/19/2005                 | 590 04/19/2005       |                      | EXAMINER          |  |  |
| WORKMAN NYDEGGER      |                                 |                      | WOLFE JR, WILLIS RAY |                   |  |  |
|                       | RKMAN NYDEGGER &<br>OUTH TEMPLE | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER         |                   |  |  |
| 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER |                                 |                      | 3747                 | 3747              |  |  |
| SALT LAKI             | ECITY, UT 84111                 |                      |                      |                   |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | <u></u>   |   | _  | $\epsilon$          |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
|   |   | Applicat  | ion No.   | Applicant(s)   |                     |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/769,3  | 361   | STECK ET AL.   | •                   |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examine   | r   | Art Unit   |                     |  |  |  |
|   |   | Willis R.   | Wolfe, Jr.  | 3747   |                     |  |  |  |
| Period fe   | The MAILING DATE of this commun   | ication appears on th   | e cover sheet with the  | correspondence ad  | dress               |  |  |  |
| A SH<br>THE<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If the<br>- If NO<br>- Failt<br>Any | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum so ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. 30) days, a reply within the statatutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the ap | vent, however, may a reply be to stuttory minimum of thirty (30) do will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDON | imely filed  ays will be considered timely  the mailing date of this of  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | y.<br>ommunication. |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) file   | ed on   |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u>   | •   | 2b)☐ This action is   | non-final.  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims   |   | •   |  | •                   |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠<br>6)⊠<br>7)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/at Claim(s) <u>15-21</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-14</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict  | re withdrawn from co  |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers  |   |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 9)□   | The specification is objected to by the   | e Examiner.   |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are  | : a)  accepted or b   | ) ☐ objected to by the  | Examiner.  |                     |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any obje   |   |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including  |   |   | -  | = =                 |  |  |  |
| 11)[  | The oath or declaration is objected to  | o by the Examiner. N  | ote the attached Offic  | e Action or form PT  | O-152.              |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| а)  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation  | documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru   | en received.<br>en received in Applica<br>ents have been receiv<br>le 17.2(a)).   | tion No<br>ved in this National  | Stage               |  |  |  |
| •   |   |   |   |  |                     |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | · ·   |   | _   |  |                     |  |  |  |
|   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F   | OTO 048)  | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [  |  |                     |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔲 Infor  | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Provided in No(s)/Mail Date   |   | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:   |  | D-152)              |  |  |  |

### DETAILED ACTION

Upon further review of the patent application, the following rejection has been made.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1 and 2 of U. S. Patent No. 6,695,593 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Claims 1 and 2 of U. S. Patent No. 6,695,593 recites a first fiber optic line configured for directing light onto a portion of the pump

(shuttle valve) and a second fiber optic line configures for receiving light from the first fiber optic line that has been reflected off the shuttle valve wherein the fiber optic system comprises an end of stroke detector. As stated in column 19, lines 1-9 of U. S. Patent No. 6,695,593, the term "end of stroke detector" defines a structure wherein a second fiber optic line receives light from the first fiber optic line at a specified point (the end) of a shuttle valve stroke. This constitutes a specified point during the stroke of the pump as defined in claim 1 of this application. Therefore, patent claim 2 does anticipate every element of the instant invention and therefore renders claim 1 obvious.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 15-21 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3747 -

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willis R. Wolfe, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1950. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday (4:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry C. Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Willis R. Wolfe, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

WRW April 12, 2005